

furnaces and for each of the three emission points at sinter plants.

(14) The State conducts additional particulate studies in the Detroit area by September, 1980.

(c) *Disapprovals.* EPA disapproves the following specific revisions to the Michigan Plan:

(1) The State submitted Consent Order No. 16-1982 on June 24, 1982, Great Lakes Steel, a Division of the National Steel Corporation as a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan. EPA disapproves this revision, because it does not satisfy all the requirements of EPA's proposed Emission Trading Policy Statement of April 7, 1982 (47 FR 15076).

(d) *Approval.*—On April 29, 1988, the State of Michigan submitted a committal SIP for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀) for Michigan's Group II areas. The Group II areas of concern are in the City of Monroe and an area surrounding the City of Carrollton. The committal SIP contains all the requirements identified in the July 1, 1987, promulgation of the SIP requirements for PM₁₀ at 52 FR 24681.

[46 FR 27931, May 22, 1981, as amended at 49 FR 11834, Mar. 28, 1984; 50 FR 33540, Aug. 20, 1985; 55 FR 17752, Apr. 27, 1990]

§ 52.1174 Control strategy: Ozone.

(a) Part D—Conditional Approval—Michigan Rules 336.1603 and 336.1606 are approved provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) *Rule 336.1606.*—The State either promulgates a rule with a 120,000 gallon per year throughput exemption for gasoline dispensing facilities for sources located in Wayne, Macomb and Oakland Counties. The State must either submit the rule to USEPA or demonstrate that the allowable emissions resulting from the application of its existing rule with 250,000 gallon per year throughput exemption for gasoline dispensing facilities are less than five percent greater than the allowable emissions resulting from the application of the CTG presumptive norm. The State must comply with this condition by May 6, 1981, and any necessary regulations must be finally promulgated by

the State and submitted to USEPA by September 30, 1981.

(b) *Approval.*—On November 16, 1992, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted Natural Resources Commission Rule 336.202 (Rule 2), Sections 5 and 14a of the 1965 Air Pollution Act 348, and the 1991 Michigan Air Pollution Reporting Forms, Reference Tables, and General Instructions as the States emission statement program. Natural Resources Commission Rule 336.202 (Rule 2) became effective November 11, 1986. Section 5 and 14a of the 1965 Air Pollution Act 348 became effective July 23, 1965. These rules have been incorporated by reference at 40 CFR 52.1170(c)(93). On October 25, 1993, the State submitted the 1993 Michigan Air Pollution Reporting Forms, Reference Tables, and General Instructions, along with an implementation strategy for the State's emission statement program.

(c) *Approval.*—On January 5, 1993, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a revision to the ozone State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the 1990 base year inventory. The inventory was submitted by the State of Michigan to satisfy Federal requirements under section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (the Act), as a revision to the ozone SIP for the Grand Rapids and Muskegon areas in Michigan designated nonattainment, classified as moderate. These areas include counties of Muskegon, and the two county Grand Rapids area (which are the counties of Kent and Ottawa).

EDITORIAL NOTE: At 59 FR 40828, Aug. 10, 1994 the following paragraph (c) was added to § 52.1174.

(c) *Approval.*—On November 12, 1993, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a petition for exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements of the Clean Air Act for the Detroit-Ann Arbor ozone nonattainment area. The submittal pertained to the exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements for conformity, inspection and maintenance, reasonably available control technology, and new source review. These are required by sections 176(c), 182(b)(4), and 182(f) of the 1990 amended Clean Air Act, respectively.

(d) In a letter addressed to David Kee, EPA, dated March 30, 1994, Dennis M. Drake, State of Michigan, stated:

(1) Michigan has not developed RACT regulations for the following industrial source categories, which have been addressed in Control Techniques Guidance (CTG) documents published prior to the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, because no affected sources are located in the moderate nonattainment counties:

- (i) Large petroleum dry cleaners;
- (ii) SOxMI air oxidation processes;
- (iii) High-density polyethylene and polypropylene resin manufacturing; and
- (iv) Pneumatic rubber tire manufacturing.

(2) (Reserved)

(e) Approval—On July 1, 1994, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a petition for exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements of the Clean Air Act for the East Lansing ozone nonattainment area. The submittal pertained to the exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements for conformity and new source review. These are required by sections 176(c) and 182(f) of the 1990 amended Clean Air Act, respectively. If a violation of the ozone standard occurs in the East Lansing ozone nonattainment area, the exemption shall no longer apply.

(f) Approval—On July 8, 1994, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a petition for exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements of the Clean Air Act for the Genesee County ozone nonattainment area. The submittal pertained to the exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements for conformity and new source review. These are required by sections 176(c) and 182(f) of the 1990 amended Clean Air Act, respectively. If a violation of the ozone standard occurs in the Genesee County ozone nonattainment area, the exemption shall no longer apply.

(g) [Reserved]

(h) Approval—On January 5, 1993, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a revision to the ozone State Implementation Plan for the 1990 base year emission inventory. The inventory was submitted by the

State of Michigan to satisfy Federal requirements under section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990, as a revision to the ozone State Implementation Plan for the Detroit-Ann Arbor moderate ozone nonattainment area. This area includes Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, St. Clair, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

(i) Approval—On November 12, 1993, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a request to redesignate the Detroit-Ann Arbor (consisting of Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, St. Clair, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties) ozone nonattainment area to attainment for ozone. As part of the redesignation request, the State submitted a maintenance plan as required by 175A of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. Elements of the section 175A maintenance plan include a base year (1993 attainment year) emission inventory for NO_x and VOC, a demonstration of maintenance of the ozone NAAQS with projected emission inventories (including interim years) to the year 2005 for NO_x and VOC, a plan to verify continued attainment, a contingency plan, and an obligation to submit a subsequent maintenance plan revision in 8 years as required by the Clean Air Act. If the area records a violation of the ozone NAAQS (which must be confirmed by the State), Michigan will implement one or more appropriate contingency measure(s) which are contained in the contingency plan. Appropriateness of a contingency measure will be determined by an urban airshed modeling analysis. The Governor or his designee will select the contingency measure(s) to be implemented based on the analysis and the MDNR's recommendation. The menu of contingency measures includes basic motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program upgrades, Stage I vapor recovery expansion, Stage II vapor recovery, intensified RACT for degreasing operations, NO_x RACT, and RVP reduction to 7.8 psi. The redesignation request and maintenance plan meet the redesignation requirements in sections 107(d)(3)(E) and 175A of the Act as amended in 1990, respectively. The redesignation meets the Federal requirements of section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as a revision to the

Michigan Ozone State Implementation Plan for the above mentioned counties.

(j) Approval—On November 12, 1993, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources submitted a petition for exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements of the Clean Air Act for the Detroit-Ann Arbor ozone nonattainment area. The submittal pertained to the exemption from the oxides of nitrogen requirements for conformity, inspection and maintenance, reasonably available control technology, and new source review. These are required by sections 176(c), 182(b)(4), and 182(f) of the 1990 amended Clean Air Act, respectively. If a violation of the ozone standard occurs in the Detroit-Ann Arbor ozone nonattainment area, the exemption shall no longer apply.

(k) Determination—USEPA is determining that, as of July 20, 1995, the Grand Rapids and Muskegon ozone nonattainment areas have attained the ozone standard and that the reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration requirements of section 182(b)(1) and related requirements of section 172(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act do not apply to the areas for so long as the areas do not monitor any violations of the ozone standard. If a violation of the ozone NAAQS is monitored in either the Grand Rapids or Muskegon ozone nonattainment area, the determination shall no longer apply for the area that experiences the violation.

(l) Approval—EPA is approving the section 182(f) oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) reasonably available control technology (RACT), new source review (NSR), vehicle inspection/maintenance (I/M), and general conformity exemptions for the Grand Rapids (Kent and Ottawa Counties) and Muskegon (Muskegon County) moderate nonattainment areas as requested by the States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin in a July 13, 1994 submittal. This approval also covers the exemption of NO_x transportation and general conformity requirements of section 176(c) for the Counties of Allegan, Barry, Bay, Berrien, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Clinton, Eaton, Gratiot, Genesee, Hillsdale, Ingham, Ionia, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Lenawee, Midland, Montcalm, St. Joseph, Saginaw, Shiawassee, and Van Buren.

(m)–(n) [Reserved]

(o) Approval—On March 9, 1996, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality submitted a request to redesignate the Grand Rapids ozone nonattainment area (consisting of Kent and Ottawa Counties) to attainment for ozone. As part of the redesignation request, the State submitted a maintenance plan as required by 175A of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. Elements of the section 175A maintenance plan include an attainment emission inventory for NO_x and VOC, a demonstration of maintenance of the ozone NAAQS with projected emission inventories to the year 2007 for NO_x and VOC, a plan to verify continued attainment, a contingency plan, and a commitment to submit a subsequent maintenance plan revision in 8 years as required by the Clean Air Act. If a violation of the ozone NAAQS, determined not to be attributable to transport from upwind areas, is monitored, Michigan will implement one or more appropriate contingency measure(s) contained in the contingency plan. Once a violation of the ozone NAAQS is recorded, the State will notify EPA, review the data for quality assurance, and conduct a technical analysis, including an analysis of meteorological conditions leading up to and during the exceedances contributing to the violation, to determine local culpability. This preliminary analysis will be submitted to EPA and subjected to public review and comment. The State will solicit and consider EPA's technical advice and analysis before making a final determination on the cause of the violation. The Governor or his designee will select the contingency measure(s) to be implemented within 6 months of a monitored violation attributable to ozone and ozone precursors from the Grand Rapids area. The menu of contingency measures includes a motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program, Stage II vapor recovery, RVP reduction to 7.8 psi, RACT on major non-CTG VOC sources in the categories of coating of plastics, coating of wood furniture, and industrial cleaning solvents. The redesignation request and maintenance plan meet the redesignation requirements in section 107(d)(3)(E) and 175A of the Act as

amended in 1990, respectively. The redesignation meets the Federal requirements of section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as a revision to the Michigan Ozone State Implementation Plan for the above mentioned counties.

[45 FR 58528, Sept. 4, 1980, as amended at 50 FR 5250, Feb. 7, 1985; 59 FR 10753, Mar. 8, 1994; 59 FR 37947, July 26, 1994; 59 FR 40828, Aug. 10, 1994; 59 FR 46190, Sept. 7, 1994; 60 FR 12451, Mar. 7, 1995; 60 FR 12477, Mar. 7, 1995; 60 FR 20649, Apr. 27, 1995; 60 FR 28731, June 2, 1995; 60 FR 37013, July 19, 1995; 60 FR 37370, July 20, 1995; 61 FR 2438, Jan. 26, 1996; 61 FR 31849, June 21, 1996]

§ 52.1175 Compliance schedules.

(a) The requirements of § 51.15(a)(2) of this chapter as of May 31, 1972, (36 FR 22398) are not met since Rule 336.49 of the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission provides for individual compliance schedules to be submitted to the State Agency by January 1, 1974. This would not be in time for submittal to the Environmental Protection Agency with the first semiannual report.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) The requirements of § 51.262(a) of this chapter are not met since compliance schedules with adequate increments of progress have not been submitted for every source for which they are required.

(d) *Federal compliance schedules.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of any stationary source subject to the following emission-limiting regulations in the Michigan implementation plan shall comply with the applicable compliance schedule in paragraph (d)(2) of this section: Air Pollution Control Commission, Department of Public Health, Michigan Rule 336.49.

(2) *Compliance schedules.* (i) The owner or operator of any boiler or furnace of more than 250 million Btu per hour heat input subject to Rule 336.49 and located in the Central Michigan Intrastate AQCR, South Bend-Elkhart-Benton Harbor Interstate AQCR, or Upper Michigan Intrastate AQCR (as defined in part 81 of this title) shall notify the Administrator, no later than October 1, 1973, of his intent to utilize either low-sulfur fuel or stack gas desulfurization to comply with the limitations effective July 1, 1975, in Table 3 or Table 4 of Rule 336.49.

(ii) Any owner or operator of a stationary source subject to paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section who elects to utilize low-sulfur fuel shall take the following actions with respect to the source no later than the dates specified.

(a) November 1, 1973—Submit to the Administrator a projection of the amount of fuel, by types, that will be substantially adequate to enable compliance with Table 3 of Rule 336.49 on July 1, 1975, and for at least one year thereafter.

(b) December 31, 1973—Sign contracts with fuel suppliers for projected fuel requirements.

(c) January 31, 1974—Submit a statement as to whether boiler modifications will be required. If modifications will be required, submit plans for such modifications.

(d) March 15, 1974—Let contracts for necessary boiler modifications, if applicable.

(e) June 15, 1974—Initiate onsite modifications, if applicable.

(f) March 31, 1975—Complete onsite modifications, if applicable.

(g) July 1, 1975—Achieve final compliance with the applicable July 1, 1975, sulfur-in-fuel limitation listed in Table 3 of Rule 336.49.

(iii) Any owner or operator of a stationary source subject to paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section who elects to utilize stack gas desulfurization shall take the following actions with respect to the source no later than the dates specified.

(a) November 1, 1973—Let necessary contracts for construction.

(b) March 1, 1974—Initiate onsite construction.

(c) March 31, 1975—Complete onsite construction.

(d) July 1, 1975—Achieve final compliance with the applicable July 1, 1975, emission limitation listed in Table 4 of Rule 336.49.

(e) If a performance test is necessary for a determination as to whether compliance has been achieved, such a test must be completed by July 1, 1975. Ten days prior to such a test, notice must be given to the Administrator to afford